

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,592		05/09/2001	Bhashyam Ramesh	9491	2588
26890	7590	05/26/2005		EXAMINER	
	M. STOV	<del></del>	CAO, DIEM K		
	RPORATIO JTH PATT	ON ERSON BLVD, W	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479				2194	
				DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Daylor   Daylo			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Diem K. Cao	Office Action Summary		09/851,592	RAMESH ET AL.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Estatement of time may be available under the processors of 3 CFR 1.13(q), in no event, however, may e reply be timely filed  Estatement of time may be available under the processors of 3 CFR 1.73(q), in no event, however, may e reply be timely filed  If the period for reply specified above is less than thiny (30) days, a upply villable than the statisticy prict via all again and readings of the statistic prict via all again and readings for the statistic prict via all again and readings for the statistic prict via all again and readings for a first experiment of pattern than significant to a first experiment of pattern and patterns. See 37 CFR 1.70(q).  Status  1) ② Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.  2a) ② This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ② Claim(s) 1-9.12-26.28.29 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ② Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ② Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  On □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) to objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority un			Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENEO STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ***after \$3X (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.**  **If No period for reply sepective date on the mailing date of this communication.**  *If No period for reply sepective date than three months after the mailing date of the communication.**  **If NO period for reply sepective date than three months after the mailing date of the statistory minimum of thiny (20) days will be considered timely.**  *If NO period for reply sepective date than three months after the mailing date of the sepecial date of the communication.**  **If NO period for reply sepecial date than three months after the mailing date of the septiment of the septiment of the communication.**  **If NO period for reply septiment date than three months after the mailing date of the communication.**  **If NO period for reply septiment of the septiment of the septiment of the communication.**  **If NO period for reply septiment of the septiment of the septiment of the septiment of the communication.**  **If NO period for reply septiment of the septiment o								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provision of 30 FZR 1.15(6). In no overal, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the six of the communication of the six of								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2004.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9,12-26,28,29 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-9,12-26,28,29,31-44 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) 1-9,12-26,28,29,31-44 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * C) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.    Attachment(s)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date.   Solventine   Paper No	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-9.12-26.28.29 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status							
3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  1-9.12-26.28.29 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on  is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)  All b) Some * c) None of: 1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Preferences Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	1) Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 De</u>	<u>ecember 2004</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	2a)⊠ This act	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
All b  Some * c)   None of:    Disposition of Claims   All Claim(s) 1-9.12-26.28.29 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application.	•	] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-9.12-26.28.29 and 31-44 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892)  1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	closed in	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Parfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  5) ☐ Other:  5) ☐ Other:  6) □ Other:  6) □ Other:  6) □ Other:  6) □ Other:  7) □ Claim(s)  6) □ Other:	Disposition of CI	aims						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Parfsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  5) ☐ Other:  5) ☐ Other:  6) □ Other:  6) □ Other:  6) □ Other:  6) □ Other:  7) □ Claim(s)  6) □ Other:	·							
6 Claim(s) 1-9,12-26,28,29,31-44 is/are rejected.  7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Orafisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosures Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	5) Claim(s)	•						
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some *c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosurus Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  By Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Cher:	6) Claim(s)	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,12-26,28,29,31-44</u> is/are rejected.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· <u> </u>							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:	8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  The drawing(s) be led in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) Including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Note of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)∐ The spec	cification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Rothers	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  6) Other:								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								
	3) Information Disc	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) il Date	5) Notice of Informal P					



Art Unit: 2194

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

1. Claims 1-9, 12-26, 28-29 and 31-44 are pending. Applicant has amended claims 1-3, 7-8, 12-13, 21, 23-26; 28-29, 31, 34, cancelled claims 10-11, 27 and 30, and added claims 39-44.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 40 recites the limitation "the indicator is settable to a first value to specify a logical OR relationship", which should be "a second value" because claim 40 is depended on claim 39, and in claim 39, "a first value" is to "specify a logical AND relationship".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-8, 12-19, 28-29, 31-36, 39-40 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleinman (U.S. 6,128,640) in view of Cutler et al. (U.S. 5,598,562).

7

Art Unit: 2194

6. As to claim 1, Kleinman teaches a Unix operating system (UNIX, Posix; col. 1, lines 17-22 and col. 3, lines 50-54), a plurality of execution entities (threads; col. 3, lines 65-67), the plurality of execution entities including a first execution entity (inherent from multiple threads), an event control module adapted to create event objects representing respective events (The alternative API would consist of constructing a Thread Exit Event object, Timer Expiration Event; col. 7, lines 7-10, All event objects representing any type of event; col. 4, lines 46-47), the first execution entity to wait on plural events (The application can add ... to be waited for in a container Alert; col. 7, lines 39-41 and Each of M threads ... different events; col. 4, lines 15-20, and col. 8, lines 45-48), a data structure (non-null collection of Alert objects, each of which corresponds to an event; col. 4, lines 29-30) associated with the first execution entity (a thread blocks on a single Alert object of the container type; col. 4, lines 31-32 and A thread creates a container Alert object; col. 5, lines 26-27), the data structure containing information of the plural events that the first execution entity is waiting on (It has a non-null collection of Alert objects, each of which corresponds to an event; col. 4, lines 29-35), and a controller (processor) adapted to awaken the first execution entity by signaling the first execution entity in response to one or more event state changes of the states of the plural events (When a thread blocks on a single Alert object ... these events occur; col. 4, lines 31-35, 50-53 and Because the thread is waiting ... unblocking the thread; col. 8, lines 45-48).

Page 3

7. However, Kleinman does not teach event having a state, the data structure further containing an indicator settable to one of plural values to specify respective plural logical relationships between the plural events, and awaken the first execution entity according to the

Art Unit: 2194

logical relationship specified by the indicator. Cutler teaches event having a state (Unsignalled or Signalled; col. 27, lines 6), the data structure further containing an indicator settable to one of plural values to specify respective plural logical relationships between the plural events (The Executive Wait Multiple routine has two modes of operation which can be specified by the user; col. 28, lines 22-29), and awaken the first execution entity according to the logical relationship specified by the indicator (suspends the issuing program... become signaled; col. 28, lines 26-29 and col. 26, lines 50-55).

- 8. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman and Cutler because it would improve the flexible execution by allow the threads in the system of Kleinman to be awaken by one or more events.
- 9. As to claim 2, Kleinman teaches the event control module is adapted to define a collection/list for a first one of the event objects, the list having plural entries corresponding to plural execution entities waiting on the event represented by the first event object (col. 4, lines 29-45; because each event object has a corresponding Contained Alert object, and each Contained Alert object has a list of Container Alert objects, and each Container Alert object is associated with a thread that created it; col. 5, lines 26-27). Kleinman further teaches the AlertCollection class supports array-like functions (col. 6, lines 40-42). Although Kleinman does not teach a queue, it is well known in the art to implementing the collection/list as an array. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2194

combine the teaching of Kleinman and well-known technique to implement a queue because queue provides a method to store data that can be retrieved or access at any time by many ways.

- 10. As to claim 3, Kleinman as modified teaches the event control module is adapted to further create second objects (Container Alert objects; col. 4, lines 27-30), wherein each entry of the queue comprises a link to a corresponding second object (Contained Alert object has a list of Container Alert objects; col. 4, lines 42-45), each execution entity to sleep on an associated second object to wait on the event represented by the first event object (a thread blocks on a single Alert object; col. 4, lines 31-32; lines 50-53 and the thread is waiting on the container Alert collection; col. 8, lines 45-48).
- 11. As to claim 4, Kleinman teaches each second object is defined by a condition variable (pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, line 15).
- As to claim 5, Kleinman does not teach the controller signals each thread by signaling the condition variable. Kleinman teaches the controller signals each thread by signaling the second object (All event objects ... by one of these containers; col. 4, lines 46-56). Cutler teaches the controller signals each thread by signaling the condition variable (Kernel synchronization primitives ... A timer is changed to signaled; col. 27, lines 3-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman and Cutler because it provides a method for synchronization to help coordinate access

Art Unit: 2194

to resources or data without having to modify the wait service routines and the scheduler in the operating system's kernel.

- 13. As to claim 6, Kleinman teaches each second object is defined by a condition variable and a mutex (pthread mutex t mutex, pthread cond t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16).
- 14. As to claim 7, Kleinman does not teach each event object contains an indication of the state of the event. Cutler teaches each event object contains an indication of the state of the event (Wait Status field; col. 27, lines 55-60).
- 15. As to claim 8, Kleinman does not teach the indication has a first state to indicate that the event has been signaled and a second state to indicate that the event has not been signaled. Cutler teaches each event object contains an indication of the state of the event (Unsignalled or Signalled; col. 27, lines 3-8 and Wait Status field; col. 27, lines 55-60).
- 16. As to claim 12, Kleinman teaches collections/lists associated with corresponding event objects representing the events the first execution entity is waiting on, each collection/list containing an entry corresponding to the first execution entity (col. 4, lines 29-45; because each event object has a corresponding Contained Alert object, and each Contained Alert object has a list of Container Alert objects, and each Container Alert object is associated with a thread that created it; col. 5, lines 26-27 and col. 8, lines 45-48). Kleinman further teaches the AlertCollection class supports array-like functions (col. 6, lines 40-42). Although Kleinman does

Art Unit: 2194

.

Page 7

not teach a queue, it is well known in the art to implementing the collection/list as an array. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman and well-known technique to implement a queue because queue provides a method to store data that can be retrieved or access at any time by many ways.

- As to claim 13, Kleinman teaches the event control module is adapted to define a barrier object (Container Alert objects; col. 4, lines 27-30), the first execution entity to sleep on the barrier object to wait on the plural events (the thread is waiting on the container Alert collection rather than any single contained Alert; col. 8, lines 45-48), the queue of each event object containing a link to the barrier object (Contained Alert object has a list of Container Alert objects; col. 4, lines 42-45).
- 18. As to claim 14, Kleinman teaches the barrier object is defined at least by a condition variable (pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, line 15).
- 19. As to claim 15, Kleinman teaches the barrier object is defined at least by a condition variable and a mutex (pthread\_mutex\_t mutex, pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16).
- 20. As to claim 16, Kleinman teaches the event control module comprises a library (the alternative API; col. 7, lines 7-9 and Appendix A-C; col. 9-12).

Art Unit: 2194

- 21. As to claim 17, Kleinman teaches the execution entities comprise threads (threads; col. 3, lines 65-67).
- As to claim 18, Kleinman teaches plural processes (process, subprocess; col. 3, line 65 col. 4, line 2), each process associated with one or more threads (the threads that compose the process; col. 3, line 66), the event control module to create a local event to synchronize threads within a process and to create a global event to synchronize threads of different processes (exit of a subprocess, the exit of another thread, the completion of asynchronous file I/O ... Posix conditional; col. 4, lines 1-6).
- As to claim 19, Kleinman teaches the global event comprises named event (Timer\_Expiration\_Event; col. 7, lines 60-67).
- As to claim 28, Kleinman teaches providing one or more synchronization primitives (pthread\_mutex\_t mutex, pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16), defining a first object based on the one or more synchronization primitives (Container Alert object; col. 4, lines 27-30, Alert class, pthread\_mutex\_t mutex, pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16), defining event objects representing corresponding events (All event objects representing any type of event; col. 4, lines 46-47 and Thread\_Exit\_Event object; col. 7, lines 8-10, Timer\_Expiration\_Event; col. 7, lines 55-67), one of the execution entities sleeping one the first object to wait on the events (the thread is waiting on the container Alert ... unblocking the thread; col. 8, lines 45-48), the first object associated with a data structure containing

Art Unit: 2194

information of the event objects (It has a non-null collection of Alert objects, each of which corresponds to an event; col. 4, lines 28-30), awakening the one execution entity based on states of the event objects (When a thread blocks on a single Alert object ... these events occur; col. 4, lines 31-35, 50-53 and Because the thread is waiting ... unblocking the thread; col. 8, lines 45-48).

- 25. However, Kleinman does not teach each event object having a state to indicate whether the corresponding event is signaled, the data structure further containing an indicator settable to one of plural values to specify one of plural relationships between the events, awakening the one execution entity based on states of the event objects according to a logical relationship specified by the indicator. Cutler teaches event having a state (Unsignalled or Signalled, col. 27, lines 6), the data structure further containing an indicator settable to one of plural values to specify respective plural logical relationships between the plural events (The Executive Wait Multiple routine has two modes of operation which can be specified by the user; col. 28, lines 22-29), and awaken the first execution entity according to the logical relationship specified by the indicator (suspends the issuing program... become signaled; col. 28, lines 26-29 and col. 26, lines 50-55).
- 26. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman and Cutler because it would improve the flexible execution by allow the threads in the system of Kleinman to be awaken by one or more events.

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 29, Kleinman does not teach signaling the first object in response to the states of event objects according to the specified logical relationship to awaken the one execution entity. Cutler teaches signaling the first object in response to the states of event objects according to the specified logical relationship to awaken the one execution entity (The Executive Wait Multiple routine has two modes of operation which can be specified by the user; col. 28, lines 22-29 and suspends the issuing program... become signaled; col. 28, lines 26-29 and col. 26, lines 50-55).

- As to claim 31, Kleinman teaches providing the one or more synchronization primitives comprises providing one or more synchronization primitives defined in a Unix operating system (pthread\_mutex\_t mutex, pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16 and UNIX, Posix; col. 1, lines 17-22 and col. 3, lines 50-54).
- 29. As to claim 32, see rejections of claims 4-5 above.
- 30. As to claim 33, Kleinman teaches providing the one or more synchronization primitives defined in a Unix operating system (pthread\_mutex\_t mutex, pthread\_cond\_t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16 and UNIX, Posix; col. 1, lines 17-22 and col. 3, lines 50-54).
- 31. As to claim 34, Kleinman does not teach the one execution entity adding entries to the event objects to enable the one execution entity to wait on plural events. Cutler teaches one

Art Unit: 2194

execution entity adding entries to the event objects to enable the one execution entity to wait on plural events (col. 27, lines 60-63).

- As to claim 35, Kleinman does not teach the one execution entity adding the entries to the event objects comprises adding entries to queues associated with the event objects. Kleinman teaches a Container Alert Object contains a collection of Alert objects, each of which corresponds to an event (col. 4, lines 28-30), the Alert objects has the functions make\_member(), own () (col. 5, lines 65-66), the AlertCollection class encapsulates the details of a collection of Alerts (col. 5, lines 20-25), and the AlertCollection class supports array-like retrieval (col. 6, lines 40-43). It is well know in the art that the queue could be implemented as an array.
- It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman and well known technique to implement a queue to store the Alert objects that associated with an event because queue provides a method to store data that can be retrieved or access at any time by many ways.
- 34. As to claim 36, Kleinman does not teach adding the entries to the queues comprises adding a pointer to the first object. Kleinman teaches the C++ programming language is used to implement the system, and it is well known that object is passed by reference (pointer) is supported in the C++. It would have been obvious adding the entries to the queues comprises adding a pointer to the first object.

Art Unit: 2194

As to claim 39, Kleinman does not teach the indicator is settable to a first value to specify a logical AND relationship between the plural events, and in response to the first value of the indicator, the controller to awaken the first execution entity in response to all of the plural events waited on by the first execution entity being signaled. Cutler teaches there is a mode which can be specified by the user to indicate the logical AND relationship between the events, and awaken the execution entity in response to all of the plural events waited on by the execution entity being signaled (The Executive Wait Multiple ... become signaled, col. 28, lines 24-29).

- 36. As to claim 40, Kleinman does not teach the indicator is settable to a second value to specify a logical OR relationship between the plural events, and in response to the second value of the indicator, the controller to awaken the first execution entity in response to any of the plural events waited on by the first execution entity being signaled. Cutler teaches there is a mode which can be specified by the user to indicate the logical AND relationship between the events, and awaken the execution entity in response to any of the plural events waited on by the execution entity being signaled (The Executive Wait Multiple ... become signaled; col. 28, lines 24-29).
- 37. As to claim 43, see rejection of claim 39 above.
- 38. As to claim 44, see rejection of claim 40 above.

Art Unit: 2194

39. Claims 9, 20-26 and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleinman (U.S. 6,128,640) in view of Cutler et al. (U.S. 5,598,562) further in view of Kakivaya et al. (U.S. 6,546,443 B1).

- 40. As to claim 9, Kleinman does not teach each event object has a type indication to indicate whether the event object state is to be automatically reset to the second state from the first state once the event has been signaled or to be manually reset to the second state from the first state by an explicit action. Kakivaya teaches each event object has a type indication to indicate whether the event object state is to be automatically reset to the second state from the first state once the event has been signaled or to be manually reset to the second state from the first state by an explicit action (The illustrated event objects support tow basic event type ... is manually reset). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman and Kakivaya because it provides a method to send the awake one thread or more that are waiting on the event.
- As to claim 20, Kleinman does not teach a plurality of nodes, each node comprising one or more of the plurality of execution entities. Kakivaya teaches a plurality of nodes, each node comprising one or more of the plurality of execution entities (The invention may be practiced ... distributed computing environments; col.4, line 64 col. 5, line 11 and threads 320; col. 9, lines 15-18 and Fig. 3).

Art Unit: 2194

42. As to claim 21, Kleinman teaches generate event objects in a Unix operating system

Page 14

event objects representing any type of event; col. 4, lines 46-47 and abstract and UNIX, Posix;

environment representing events used for synchronizing execution entities in the system (All

col. 1, lines 17-22 and col. 3, lines 50-54), provide a queue containing entries associated with a

first event object (col. 4, lines 29-45; because each event object has a corresponding Contained

Alert object, and each Contained Alert object has a list of Container Alert objects, and each

Container Alert object is associated with a thread that created it; col. 5, lines 26-27), each entry

associated with a corresponding execution entity (each Contained Alert object has a list of

Container Alert objects, and each Container Alert object is associated with a thread that created

it; col. 5, lines 26-27), the plural entries of the queue enabling plural execution entities to wait on

the first event object (a thread blocks on a single Alert object of the container type; col. 4, lines

31-32).

43. However, Kleinman does not teach each event object having a state to indicate if the

corresponding event has been signaled, selectively set a type variable to one of a fist value and a

second value, the first value indicating that the first event object is of an auto-reset type, and the

second value indicating that the first event object is of a manual reset type, and in response to the

state of the first event object indicating the corresponding event has been signaled, automatically

clear the state of the first event object to an un-signaled state and awaken only one of the plural

execution entities waiting on the first execution object in response to the type variable being set

to the first value, and not clear the state of the first event object until manually cleared and

awaken all threads waiting on the first event object in response to the type variable being set to

Art Unit: 2194

the second value. Cutler teaches each event object having a state to indicate if the corresponding event has been signaled (Unsignalled or Signalled; col. 27, line 6), and awaken one or more execution entities when the corresponding event has been signaled (col. 28, lines 15-29). Kakivaya teaches the event objects supports two basic event types, automatic and manual (col. 19, lines 7-8), automatically clear the state of the event object to an un-signaled state and awaken only one of the plural execution entities waiting on the first execution object (col. 19, lines 10-14), and not clear the state of the first event object until manually cleared and awaken all threads waiting on the first event object (col. 19, lines 14-19). Although Kleinman does not teach a queue, it is well known in the art to implementing the collection/list as an array. It would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the

teaching of Kleinman and well known technique to implement a queue because queue provides a

method to store data that can be retrieved or access at any time by many ways.

- 44. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Kleinman, Cutler and Kakivaya because it would improve the flexible execution by allow the threads in the system of Kleinman to be awaken by one or more events.
- As to claim 22, Kleinman teaches the instruction when executed cause the system to further create barrier objects (An application can create a container Alert object ... via the own routine; col. 6, lines 25-28 and Alert (), Alert (const Alert &); col. 9, class Alert), each execution entity waiting on a corresponding barrier object to wait on an event (the thread is waiting on the

Art Unit: 2194

container Alert collection rather than any single contained Alert; col. 8, lines 45-48 and a container Alert object ... to an event; col. 4, lines 28-30).

- As to claim 23, Kleinman teaches the instructions when executed cause the system to create barrier objects by defining each barrier object based on a condition variable according to the Unix operating system (An application can create a container Alert object ... via the own routine; col. 6, lines 25-28 and Alert (), Alert (const Alert &); col. 9, class Alert and pthread cond t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16).
- As to claim 24, Kleinman teaches the instructions when executed cause the system to create barrier objects by defining each barrier object based on a condition variable and mutex according to the Unix operating system (An application can create a container Alert object ... via the own routine; col. 6, lines 25-28 and Alert (), Alert (const Alert &); col. 9, class Alert and pthread mutex t mutex, pthread cond t cond; col. 9, class Alert, lines 15-16).
- As to claim 25, Kleinman as modified teaches the queue of the first event object contains entries pointing to the barrier objects of the plural execution entities waiting on the first event object (Contained Alert object has a list of Container Alert objects; col. 4, lines 42-45, a thread blocks on a single Alert object; col. 4, lines 31-32; lines 50-53 and the thread is waiting on the container Alert collection; col. 8, lines 45-48).

Art Unit: 2194

49. As to claim 26, Kleinman as modified teaches provide a routine associated with each event object, the routine of the first event object to traverse the queue of the first event object and to signal the barrier objects pointed to by the entries in the queue of the first event object (void \*Thread Exit Event::wrapper (void \*vp); col. 11, line 63 - col. 12, lines 13).

- 50. As to claim 41, Kleinman teaches provide a data structure containing information of the plural events waited upon by the first execution entity (non-null collection of Alert objects, each of which corresponds to an event; col. 4, lines 29-30), awaken the first execution entity in response to states of the plural events waited upon by the first execution entity (When a thread blocks on a single Alert object ... these events occur; col. 4, lines 31-35, 50-53 and Because the thread is waiting ... unblocking the thread; col. 8, lines 45-48). However, Kleinman does not teach the data structure further containing an indicator settable to one of plural values to specify respective plural logical relationships between the plural events waited on by the first execution entity, and awaken the first execution entity according to the logical relationship specified by the indicator. Cutler teaches event having a state (Unsignalled or Signalled; col. 27, lines 6), the data structure further containing an indicator settable to one of plural values to specify respective plural logical relationships between the plural events (The Executive Wait Multiple routine has two modes of operation which can be specified by the user; col. 28, lines 22-29), and awaken the first execution entity according to the logical relationship specified by the indicator (suspends the issuing program... become signaled; col. 28, lines 26-29 and col. 26, lines 50-55).
- 51. As to claim 42, see rejection of claim 39 above.

Art Unit: 2194

- 52. Claims 37 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleinman (U.S. 6,128,640).
- As to claim 37, Kleinman teaches a Unix operating system (UNIX, Posix; col. 1, lines 17-22 and col. 3, lines 50-54), a plurality of execution entities (threads; col. 3, lines 65-67), a storage module containing an event class (a data storage medium 130; col. 3, lines 44-46 and sample event class, Thread\_Exit\_Event; col. 11-12, Appendix C), and a processor (processor; col. 3, lines 55-64) adapted to execute the event class to provide an event-based synchronization mechanism comprising one or more events on which the plural execution entities are able to sleep (col. 4, lines 15-53 and col. 7, lines 8-10, 38-41 and col. 8, lines 45-48).
- 54. However, Kleinman does not teach an event library. Because there are multiple event classes in the system, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to put all the event classes in the library for easier maintenance and use.
- As to claim 38, Kleinman teaches plural processes (process, subprocess; col. 3, line 65 col. 4, line 2), each process associated with one or more threads (the threads that compose the process; col. 3, line 66), the event control module to create a local event to synchronize threads within a process and to create a global event to synchronize threads of different processes (exit of a subprocess, the exit of another thread, the completion of asynchronous file I/O ... Posix conditional; col. 4, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 2194

Response to Arguments

56. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9, 12-26, 28-29 and 31-38 have been

considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In the remarks, Applicant argued in substance that (1) Kleinman and Connelly do not

teach "a data structure associated with the first ... by the indicator" (Remarks, page 10, lines 12-

18), (2) No motivation to combine the teaching of Kleinmand and Kakivaya for the teaching of

"a type variable is selectively set to one ... object are awakened" (Remarks, pages 11, lines 13-

21) because different type of operating systems.

Examiner respectfully traverses Applicant's remarks:

As to the point (1), the limitation is amended in this response to the Office action and is

taught by Kleinman and Cutler.

As to the point (2), Kakivaya teaches the event objects are provided by the Microsoft

operating system, but various substitutes could be used. Also, the Kakivaya's invention is not

limited to only Window operating system (based on the claim and abstract of the patent).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art could apply the technique and modify it to work in

different type of operating system.

Conclusion

57. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Art Unit: 2194

- Pitts teaches how to implement a queue as a fixed-sized array (Queues - Array Implementation

Page 20

- Functions).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diem K. Cao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2194

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2100 Group receptionist at 571-272-2100.

Due to the realignment of WG 2120, effective March 20, 2005, AU 2126 will become AU 2194.

Diem Cao

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100